

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Gnited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brot. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/049,761 | 02/07/2002 | Clark T. Hung | 20076.73 | 7596 | |
| 26418 759 | 04/28/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| REED SMITH | , LLP | | BEISNER, W | VILLIAM H | |
| ATTN. PATEN | T RECORDS DEPARTM | MENT | | | |
| | ON AVENUE, 29TH FLO | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| NEW YORK N | · | 701 0 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | | | | | | |

| | | 15 m |
|--------------------|--------------|------|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| 10/049,761 | HUNG ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| William H. Beisner | 1744 | |

| Advisory Action | 10/049,761 | HUNG ET AL. | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | William H. Beisner | 1744 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | • | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th | | er is later. In no | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f |). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any | | | |
| The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on <u>22 February 2005</u>. A brief in compliance with Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS. | th 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed with ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid d | in two months of the ismissal of the appea | date of filing the | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f will not be entered I | hecause | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below); | Jecause | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | instant alaines | • | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL 324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | omphant Amendment | (1101-02-1). | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | · —— | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wvided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>30</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29, 31-61</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a North da | Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | William H. Beisner | | | | |

Primary Examir Art Unit: 1744

Application No. 10/04976/

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Also the proposed amendment raises new issues under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because it is not clear if the dependent claim limitations with respect to the hydrostatic pressure are in addition to the deformational loading or in lieu of the deformational loading. Also note the proposed amendment to independent claim 61 is not commensurate with the proposed amendments to the other independent claims.